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PROTOCOL FOR THE EXAMINATION OF SPECIMENS FROM PATIENTS WITH MERKEL CELL CARCINOMA OF THE SKIN

This protocol applies to Merkel cell carcinoma of cutaneous surfaces only. The seventh edition TNM staging system for Merkel cell carcinoma of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the International Union Against Cancer (UICC) is recommended.

SURGICAL PATHOLOGY CANCER CASE SUMMARY (CHECKLIST)

Merkel Cell Carcinoma of the Skin: Incisional Biopsy, Excision, Reexcision, Lymphadenectomy

Note: Use of checklist is not required for punch or shave biopsies.

Select a Single Response Unless Otherwise Indicated

* Data elements with asterisks are not required. However, these elements may be clinically important but are not yet validated or regularly used in patient management.

Procedure

— Biopsy, incisional
— Excision
— Reexcision
— Lymphadenectomy, sentinel node(s)
— Lymphadenectomy, regional nodes (specify): ________________________________
— Other (specify): ________________________________
— Not specified

Macroscopic Tumor

— Present
— Not identified

Tumor Site

Specify (if known):
— Not specified

Tumor Size

Greatest dimension: ___ cm
*Additional dimensions: ___ × ___ cm
Indeterminate (see “Comment”)

*Tumor Thickness (note A)

*Thickness: ___ mm
*Thickness: at least ___ mm (see “Comment”)
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Margins

Peripheral Margins
- Cannot be assessed
- Uninvolved by carcinoma
  - Distance of carcinoma from closest margin: __ mm
  - Specify location(s), if possible: __________
- Involved by carcinoma
  - Specify location(s), if possible: __________

Deep Margin
- Cannot be assessed
- Uninvolved by carcinoma
  - Distance of carcinoma from closest margin: __ mm
  - Specify location(s), if possible: __________
- Involved by carcinoma
  - Specify location(s), if possible: __________

Lymph-Vascular Invasion
- Not identified
- Present
- Indeterminate

Invasion of Bone, Muscle, Fascia, or Cartilage
- Not identified
- Present (specify structures involved): __________
- Not identified

*Mitotic Index (note B)
*__ <1/mm²
* Specify: __ /mm²

*Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes (note C)
*__ Not identified
*__ Present, nonbrisk
*__ Present, brisk

*Tumor Growth Pattern (note D)
*__ Nodular
*__ Infiltrative

*Presence of Second Malignancy (note E)
*__ Present (specify type): __________
*__ Not identified

Lymph Nodes (required only if lymph nodes are present in the specimen) (note F)
Number of sentinel nodes examined: __________
Number of lymph nodes examined (sentinel and non-sentinel):
Number of lymph nodes with metastases: __________
Macroscopic tumor:
- Not identified
- Present
- Indeterminate

*Size of largest metastatic focus: __ mm
*Extranodal extension:
*__ Present
*__ Not identified

Pathologic Staging (pTNM) (note G)

TNM Descriptors (required only if applicable) (select all that apply)
- m (multiple)
- r (recurrent)
- y (posttreatment)

Primary Tumor (pT)
- pTX: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- pT0: No evidence of primary tumor (eg, nodal/metastatic presentation without associated primary)
- pTis: In situ primary tumor
- pT1: ≤2 cm maximum tumor dimension
- pT2: >2 cm but not more than 5 cm maximum tumor dimension
- pT3: >5 cm maximum tumor dimension
- pT4: Primary tumor invades bone, muscle, fascia, or cartilage

Regional Lymph Nodes (pN)
- pNX: Nodes not examined pathologically
- pN0: Nodes negative by pathologic exam
- pN1: Metastasis in regional lymph node(s)
  - pN1a: Micrometastasis
  - pN1b: Macrometastasis
- pN2: In transit metastasis

Distant Metastasis (pM)
- Not applicable
- pM1: Metastasis beyond regional lymph nodes
  - pM1a: Metastasis to skin, subcutaneous tissues, or distant lymph nodes
  - pM1b: Metastasis to lung
  - pM1c: Metastasis to all other visceral sites

*Additional Pathologic Findings
*Specify:

*Comment(s):

EXPLANATORY NOTES

A: Tumor Thickness.—There are published¹ and unpublished data from 3 independent prospective cohorts of patients with Merkel cell carcinoma examining tumor thickness (measured in millimeters from the stratum granulosum to the deepest infiltrating tumor cells) as a prognostic indicator for outcome. All 3 centers have data that find that tumor thickness is more predictive of outcome than maximum tumor diameter (a current staging parameter). In 2 of the studies, the outcome thus far examined was nodal metastasis; the third study evaluated disease-specific survival.

If the tumor is transected at the deep margin of the specimen, the depth may be indicated as “at least ___ mm” with a comment explaining the limitation of thickness assessment.

B: Mitotic Index.—The presence of more than 10 mitotic figures per high-power field (HPF) has been shown to correlate with large tumor size as well as a poor prognosis.² ³ The definition of what constitutes a high-power field was not specified in these reports; typically a ×10 ocular and a ×40 objective will yield a field area of approximately 0.15 mm², but this will differ from microscope to microscope and should be determined on an individual basis by direct measurement and calculation of the field or manufacturer’s specifications. Reporting mitotic figures per square millimeter should have the advantage of greater reproducibility. The identification of no mitotic figures may be reported as “<1/mm².” Uniformly accepted thresholds for low- or high-risk mitotic counts are not established for either reporting method (number per HPF versus number per square millimeter), and this checklist item remains optional at this time.

It has also been suggested that a MIB-1 proliferation index of greater than 50% is associated with a significantly worse prognosis.³
C: Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes.—Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are defined as lymphocytes present at the interface of the tumor and the stroma. Some authors have suggested that the presence of TILs has been shown to portend a poor prognosis, especially when considered in concurrence with a tumor depth of more than 5 mm. However, there are conflicting data on the subject.

In the absence of specific, accepted guidelines for assessment of TILs, it is recommended in this checklist that, for purposes of uniformity, pathologists choosing to report TILs employ guidelines used for assessment of TILs as in cutaneous melanomas, given below:

**Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes Not Identified:** No lymphocytes present, or lymphocytes present but they do not infiltrate tumor at all.

**Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes Nonbrisk:** Lymphocytes infiltrate tumor only focally or not along the entire base of the vertical growth phase.

**Tumor-Infiltrating Lymphocytes Brisk:** Lymphocytes diffusely infiltrate the entire base of the dermal tumor (Figure, A) or the entire invasive component of the tumor (Figure, B).

D: Tumor Growth Pattern.—In a series of 156 patients with Merkel cell carcinoma, nodular tumor growth pattern was found on both univariate and multivariate analysis to correlate with better survival. Nodular pattern is defined as tumors with a relatively well-circumscribed interface with the surrounding tissue, typically composed of one or multiple nodules.

Infiltrative pattern is defined as tumors without a well-circumscribed interface with the surrounding tissue, composed of single cells, rows, trabeculae, or strands of cells infiltrating through dermal collagen or deeper soft tissue.

A tumor exhibiting both nodular and infiltrative patterns should be classified as infiltrative.

E: Presence of Second Malignancy.—Merkel cell carcinoma has been shown to be strongly associated with a number of cutaneous and hematologic malignancies, chiefly squamous cell carcinomas and chronic lymphocytic leukemia. The largest series studying the relationship of second neoplasms with Merkel cell carcinoma spanned a period of 16 years and 67 patients and found that the presence of any second neoplasm with Merkel cell carcinoma, whether concurrent or not, conferred a poor prognosis.

F: Lymph Node Examination.—Clinical detection of nodal disease may be via inspection, palpation, and/or imaging. Micrometastases are defined by identification of metastasis on pathologic examination of sentinel or regional lymphadenectomy specimens. Macrometastases are defined as clinically detectable nodal metastases, confirmed by pathologic examination of therapeutic lymphadenectomy specimens. Because the pathologist may not have this clinical information, subdivision of N categories in the pathology report is optional.

In transit metastasis is defined as a tumor distinct from the primary lesion and located either (1) between the primary lesion and the draining node bed or (2) distal to the primary lesion.

Metastatic Merkel cell carcinoma to the lymph node may be difficult to identify on routine hematoxylin-eosin-stained sections. The use of immunostains has been shown to increase the sensitivity of identifying occult lymph node metastases. It is strongly recommended that at least one immunostain be performed before designating a lymph node as negative.

Depending on the experience or preference of the laboratory, stains may include, but are not limited to, AE1/AE3, CK116, Cam 5.2, CD56, CK20, synaptophysin, and/or chromogranin, many of which show a perinuclear dotlike staining pattern. All immunohistochemical results should be documented in the final pathology report.

G: TNM Staging.—Recent analysis of more than 4000 patients with Merkel cell carcinoma (MCC) in the National Cancer Database was used to derive a 4-tier staging system to be adopted by the American Joint Committee on Cancer.
(AJCC). Primary tumor dimension as a single variable was only weakly correlated with survival. The staging system takes into account tumor size (≤2 cm versus larger), nodal status, and metastatic disease for stratification.7

Those patients with MCC presentations that are indeterminate should be categorized as “TX.” Merkel cell carcinoma in situ, (ie, completely limited to epidermis or adnexal epithelium) is categorized as “Tis.” The T category of MCC is classified primarily by measuring the maximum dimension of the tumor with a threshold of 2 cm or less (T1), more than 2 cm but 5 cm or less (T2), or more than 5 cm (T3). Extracutaneous invasion by the primary tumor into bone, muscle, fascia, or cartilage is classified as “T4.”

Regional metastases most commonly present in the regional lymph nodes. A second staging definition is related to nodal tumor burden: microscopic versus macroscopic. Therefore, patients without clinical or radiologic evidence of lymph node metastases, but who have pathologically documented nodal metastases, are defined by convention as exhibiting “microscopic” or “clinically occult” nodal metastases. In contrast, MCC with both clinical evidence of nodal metastases and pathologic examination confirming nodal metastases is defined by convention as “macroscopic” or “clinically apparent” nodal metastases.

Distant metastases are defined as metastases that have spread beyond the draining lymph node basin, including cutaneous, nodal, and visceral sites.

**Primary Tumor (T)**

- **TX**: Primary tumor cannot be assessed
- **T0**: No evidence of primary tumor (eg, nodal/metastatic presentation without associated primary)
- **Tis**: In situ primary tumor
- **T1**: Less than or equal to 2 cm maximum tumor dimension
- **T2**: Greater than 2 cm but not more than 5 cm maximum tumor dimension
- **T3**: More than 5 cm maximum tumor dimension
- **T4**: Primary tumor invades bone, muscle, fascia, or cartilage

**Regional Lymph Nodes (N)**

- **cN0**: Nodes not clinically detectable
- **cN1**: Nodes clinically detectable
- **pNX**: Regional lymph nodes not examined pathologically
- **pN0**: Metastases in regional lymph node(s)
- **pN1a**: Micrometastasis
- **pN1b**: Macrometastasis
- **pN2**: In transit metastasis

**Distant Metastasis (M)**

- **M0**: No distant metastasis
- **M1**: Metastasis beyond regional lymph nodes
- **M1a**: Metastasis to skin, subcutaneous tissues, or distant lymph nodes
- **M1b**: Metastasis to lung
- **M1c**: Metastasis to all other visceral sites

**Stage Groupings**

Patients with primary Merkel cell carcinoma with no evidence of regional or distant metastases (either clinically or pathologically) are divided into 2 stages: stage I for primary tumors that are 2 cm or less in size and stage II for primary tumors greater than 2 cm in size. Stages I and II are further divided into A and B subgroups based on method of nodal evaluation. Patients who have pathologically proven node-negative disease (by microscopic evaluation of their draining lymph nodes) have improved survival (substaged as “A”) as compared with patients who are only evaluated clinically (substaged as “B”). Stage II has an additional substage (“IIIC”) for tumors with extracutaneous invasion (T4) and negative node status regardless of whether the negative node status was established microscopically or clinically. Stage III is also divided into A and B categories for patients with microscopically positive and clinically occult nodes (“IIIA” and macroscopic nodes (“IIIB”). There are no subgroups of stage IV Merkel cell carcinoma.

**Stage Groupings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>T Category</th>
<th>N Category</th>
<th>M Category</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 0</td>
<td>Tis</td>
<td>cN0, pN0/pNx</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IA</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>cN0, pN0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IB</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>cN0, pNx</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIA</td>
<td>T2/T3</td>
<td>cN0, pN0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIB</td>
<td>T2/T3</td>
<td>cN0, pNx</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIC</td>
<td>T4</td>
<td>cN0, pN0/pNx</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIA</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>cN0, pN1</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIB</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>cN1, pN1/N2</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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